

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,270	06/10/2005	Noriko Yamashita	271659US2X PCT	3233	
OBLON SPIX	7590 10/29/200 / AK MCCLELLAND	8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	UNER	
1940 DUKE S	TREET	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	MITTAL, K	MITTAL, KRISHAN K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT		
			3688		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2008	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/538,270	YAMASHITA ET AL.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kris Mittal	3688					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Kris Mittal.	(3) <u>Jeff Parker</u> .						
(2) <u>James Myhre</u> .							
Date of Interview: 23 October 2008.							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Nagano et al., 20020062250.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: a proposed draft of amendment was discussed and agreement was reached that it appears to overcome prior art of record.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							

KM

/James W Myhre/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3688